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Abstract. In the context of the ongoing forth industrial revolution and fast computer science development the amount of textual information becomes huge. So, prior to applying the seemingly appropriate methodologies and techniques to the above data processing their nature and characteristics should be thoroughly analyzed and understood. At that, automatic text processing incorporated in the existing systems may facilitate many procedures. So far, text classification is one of the basic applications to natural language processing accounting for such factors as emotions’ analysis, subject labeling etc. In particular, the existing advancements in deep learning networks demonstrate that the proposed methods may fit the documents’ classifying, since they possess certain extra efficiency; for instance, they appeared to be effective for classifying texts in English. The thorough study revealed that practically no research effort was put into an expertise of the documents in Vietnamese language. In the scope of our study, there is not much research for documents in Vietnamese. The development of deep learning models for document classification has demonstrated certain improvements for texts in Vietnamese. Therefore, the use of long short term memory network with Word2vec is proposed to classify text that improves both performance and accuracy. The here developed approach when compared with other traditional methods demonstrated somewhat better results at classifying texts in Vietnamese language. The evaluation made over datasets in Vietnamese shows an accuracy of over 90%; also the proposed approach looks quite promising for real applications.
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1. Introduction. Automatic text classification is intended for processing new documents based on their similarity with the other ones in the training model. In this paper the text classification algorithm is proposed aimed at solving certain issues (classifying topics and positive-negative comments) based on titles. The following example is given to explain the text classification. At that, the news dataset is selected and represented as:

\[ N = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_n). \]  

(1)

The news corresponding labels are:

\[ C = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_m). \]  

(2)

The articles labeling will be performed automatically according to label (C).
The traditional methods often classify documents based on dictionaries. However, the development of deep learning (DL) models has been much more effective and used widely for classifying documents [1, 2].

Besides, the methods for Vietnamese language are quite limited. The most difficult point for processing documents in Vietnamese is to determine word boundaries. In English language words are groups of meaningful characters separated by spaces in sentences. Therefore, it is not difficult to select in a sentence the words in English language. However, word boundaries are not defined for Vietnamese and depend on context of sentences. Several key properties are:

– independent syntax;
– single, complex, repeated, and compound words;
– phrase clustering.

Figure 1 gives an example of Vietnamese phrase clustering [3]; and shows that there exist two ways to understand this sentence. If the second way of words clustering is accepted, the sentence will have not the same meaning as the method.

Fig. 1. An example of clustering words in Vietnamese language

Words’ clustering in sentences is an important step in preprocessing documents in Vietnamese. If the sentence is identified by the first method, it would be classified as a law. Otherwise, certain misunderstanding would lead to another label. Therefore, the accuracy of phrase clustering is very important. If the clustering of words is not reliable, the label classification of text may be wrong.

In the paper, the long short-term memory (LSTM) model is used at first to classify text since gates of LSTM are able to filter information similar to input ports. Therefore, the data from the past will be adjusted.
Besides, here also proposed to combine LSTM and Word2vec methods to improve the method accuracy.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the related works; Section 3 presents the theoretical basis; Section 4 shows the results of the model use; Section 5 contains conclusions and some new directions proposed for further development.

2. Related Work. The amount of text data must be processed prior to their use. The problem is how to extract information from the data source. The data nature and their characteristics should be clearly understood in order to apply the necessary methodologies. Therefore, time and effort for their classifying will be saved.

DL models have appeared to be quite successful at natural language processing (NLP) [3-12]. Applications of DL to NLP are mentioned as phrase classification algorithm [13] and the main content of Vietnamese text [14].

Currently, there exist many methods to improve English texts classification based on artificial neural (AI) networks [15-25]. In [15] the authors use new LSTM model to classify text. The model with prior training is able to solve the multi-dimensional data processing problem by traditional methods. In [20] the authors use convolutional neural network (CNN) and recurrent neural network (RNN) for classification. In [16] the authors combine two models CNN and bidirectional recurrent neural network (BRNN). In the model the authors use the bidirectional class to replace the pooling class in CNN and help to store the long-term dependencies of input chains.

In addition, the support vector machine (SVM) algorithm is used to reduce required memory [17]. SVM algorithm is well applicable to the text classification problem. It saves memory since only a subset of points is used for training and forming process for new data. Therefore, the necessary points are stored while making decisions in a result of / for decision-making. SVM possesses certain flexibility due to change between linear and non-linear methods. However, it does not clearly indicate the calculus of probability yet, since its classification only focuses on dividing objects into two layers by super-flat.

Many studies have been performed [18, 26-28] in regard to Vietnamese texts classification. Classification of texts in Vietnamese via traditional methods and topics is often based on [18]. Topic model uncovers-abstract documents. This method guarantees stability and provides a relative accuracy. However, it is quite difficult and time-consuming as well as costly. Therefore, SVM algorithm is applied to classifying texts in Vietnamese [26]. This classification is able to adjust the parameters automatically. However, it displays worse results comparing with an
application to English language (the accuracy for Vietnamese language is 80.72% comparing with 89% for English [17]).

In [27] the authors used traditional methods like Naïve Bayes (NB) and maximum entropy (ME). NB is a simple method to solve problems regarding data classification based on statistic. However, its disadvantage is that there is no link between the characteristics. Therefore, ME is used to estimate label probability based on sentence characteristics. Besides, in [27] the authors also use DL method as LSTM and Bi-LSTM for Vietnamese language classification. In [28] the authors employ a combination of LSTM and CNN for separating words in a sentence. This approach helps the model to learn both adjacent and distant data. To the best of our knowledge, the classification of words by subject using LSTM and Word2vec has not been published before.

Based on the analyzed above, the topic based DL model for text classification could be proposed since it has demonstrated certain improvements for classifying documents in Vietnamese. In the model the LSTM and Word2vec methods are combined. As a result, the model improved both accuracy and speed processing for input of data in Vietnamese language.

3. System

3.1. The System Overview. An overview of the system is shown in Figure 2. The system consists of two main blocks, namely training and predicting parts. In two blocks, a combination of two algorithms Word2vec and LSTM is proposed to increase accuracy for classification of texts in Vietnamese. Besides, the preprocessing step suitable for data in Vietnamese is used. The steps details are given in the following sections.

Fig. 2. Diagram of system structure

3.2. Data Collection. The difficulty for classifying is that the dataset of topics in Vietnamese is limited. Datasets usually consist of 50 to 100 raw
texts. In this paper the VNTC dataset [4] suitable for the done research is used. The dataset is updated and information from official electronic newspapers like [29-32] is chosen by users according to each topic. It contains 10 topics of 33756 and 50373 articles for training and testing respectively. Data are selected and aggregated as shown in Figures 3 and 4.

![Figure 3](image1.png)

**Fig. 3.** Result of dataset training [4]

![Figure 4](image2.png)

**Fig. 4.** Result of dataset testing [4]

### 3.3. Data Preprocessing.

At the preprocessing step three small steps namely Vietnamese words separation, data cleaning, stop-word separation are executed as follows.
Vietnamese words separation. As was mentioned in Part 1, the separation of Vietnamese words greatly affects the output results. In recent years the Vietnamese language processing community has grown stronger. There appeared many libraries related to NLP for Vietnamese language that have produced good results [18, 26-28].

Table 1 shows the accuracy of methods for separating Vietnamese words. In the current paper the vnTokenizer and Python language [33] are used. To separate words the Vietnamese Pyvi library for VNTC dataset [33] is used. This library is a combination of maximum matching (MM) algorithm and SVM model to solve both ambiguity and unknown words recognition to improve the shortcomings for other methods. It proposes a language classifier for Vietnamese as follows: O – single word for one language, B – first language for one multi-language word, I – intermediate language for one multi-language word, and E – final language for one multilingual word. MM is considered to be the simplest dictionary based on splitting word; it attempts to match the longest word dictionary. However, this method is not able to solve the problem of ambiguity since it only recognizes words in dictionary. Therefore, the combined system of MM + SVM has reduced the ambiguity by contextual words. In [33] the library has an accuracy of 97,86% for Vietnamese words.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tokenizer</th>
<th>F1-Score (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDRsegmente [34]</td>
<td>97,90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jPTDP-v2 [35]</td>
<td>97,90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UETsegmenter [10]</td>
<td>97,87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vnTokenizer [3]</td>
<td>98,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JvnSegmenter [36]</td>
<td>97,06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data cleaning. After separating words the text reveals many special characters and punctuation that simplify the system. To solve the problem, all uppercase letters were converted into lowercase and punctuation was removed.

Stop-word separation. Then the stop-words are to be removed. Stop-words are understood as words not important for classification. Besides, a number of concatenation or quantitative words is not discriminatory at their classifying. In addition, stop-words have no taxonomic values that appear in most documents. Therefore, these words are eliminated to reduce computation time and memory during the processing. At this step the stop-words Vietnamese dictionary [37] are used. Upon these words removing the text will be considered a set of the representation important words.

3.4. Extracting Feature by Word2vec. After removing stop-words the text with the important words will be received. However, there are still many documents’ characteristics, and they have to be shorten.
Then words will be vectorized. The vector representation of words plays an important role. Word embedding is responsible for mapping from word or phrase to a real number vector.

In this section the Word2vec model is used. The model represents words as real vectors with specified dimensions. It is an unsupervised learning model, and is one of the first models of word embedding that uses vector each word based on contexts; it maps a set of words to a vector space where each of them is represented by n real numbers. Word2vec is the neural network with only one hidden layer. Input is a large set of words and output are vector spaces. Each single word is assigned a corresponding vector as shown in Figure 5 [38, 39].

![Word2vec model diagram](image)

Fig. 5. Proposal of Word2vec model with embedding_size = 300 and window_size = 5

**The model of input is one-hot-vector:** Each word will be in a form $x_1, x_2, ..., x_v$, where $v$ is the number of vocabulary. Each word is a vector with a value of “1” equivalent to the order of words in vocabulary and the rest will be of “0” value.

The matrix between the input and hidden layer is $W$ (its dimension is $V \times N$) whose activation function is linear. The matrix between the hidden layer and the output is $W'$ (its dimension is $V \times N$) whose activation function is softmax.

Each row of $W$ is N-dimensional vector representing $V_w$. Each row of $W$ is $v^T_w$. 
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Output matrix of the hidden layer is $W' = w'_{i,j}$. The score for each word is calculated as follows:

$$u_j = v'_{w_j} \cdot h,$$

where $v'_{w_j}$ is $j$ column of $W'$ matrix. Then the softmax trigger function is used as follows:

$$P(w_j / w_I) = y_i = \frac{\exp(u_j)}{\sum_{j'=1}^{v} \exp(u'_j)} = \frac{\exp(v'_{w_j}v_{w_I})}{\sum_{j'=1}^{v} \exp(v'^T_{w_j}W'_{w_I}v_{w_I})},$$

where $v_w$ and $v_{w'}$ are two vectors.

After training model, the weight of each word is updated continuously.

Therefore, the calculations can be performed by distances. The words appear together in context or synonyms belonging to the same vocabulary.

Word2vec algorithm has two approaches (as shown in Fig. 6) as follows:

– continuous bag of words (CBOW) model gives context (surrounding words) and guesses the appearing probability from destination;
– skip-gram model gives the current word and guesses the probability of context words.

![Fig. 6. Two approaches of word2vec algorithm [40]](image-url)
The neural network architecture of skip-gram and CBOW consists of three layers:

1. Input is the input of network and context words around a target or a present word.


3. Output is a softmax function that calculates the probability for target words or distributes into vocabulary. Based on the feed and back propagation models, the methods would be found to optimize the parameters to predict the results accuracy.

CBOW advantage is that it requires less memory to store large matrices. It is essentially probabilistic since implementation is better than Skip-gram. However, the disadvantage is that words with different meanings are still represented by one vector of words.

3.5. Labeling by LSTM. RNN for NLP has been of interest lately [15-25]. The main idea of RNN is to use sequences of information. In traditional neural networks all inputs and outputs are independent of each other. If inputs go through the hidden layer and the outputs connect among the classes, they will be combined via a function to calculate the current and output layers.

The RNN calculation is performed as follows:

– $x_t$ is the input at t that is one-hot vector corresponding to size of $n \times 1$;

– $s_t$ is the hidden state at t that is calculated based on both the pre-hiding state and input. The front words will affect the output as follows:

$$s_t = f(Ux_t + Ws_{t-1}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The function $f$ is usually a linear function as tang hyperbolic (tanh). The function is used to adjust the information passing through the system. All values are assigned to the range (-1, 1). When vectors go through neural network, they undergo many calculations. In the process, several components become too large. The function will help to refine the difference. To make calculations for the first element, $s_{t-1}$ to 0 or random values should be initialized. The memory is empty without data;

– $o_t$ is the output at t that is a probability vector of predicting words by learning information from all previous inputs as follows:

$$o_t = g(Vs_t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $g$ is the activation function.

The RNN is described as shown in Figure 7.
Fig. 7. Types of problems of RNN [41]

- **one to one** is for neural network (NN) and CNN with one input and output. For example, the input is the image and the output identifies whether it is a motorcycle;

- **one to many** is a problem with one input and many outputs. For example, the input is one image and the output is many descriptive words.

- **many to one** has many inputs and one output. For example, the input is multiple images from video and the output is one action.

- **many to many** has many inputs and outputs, for example translating from English into Vietnamese.

LSTM is an extending version of RNN designed to solve long-term dependencies. RNN is a neural network containing a loop. The network is capable of storing information. Information is passed from layer to layer. The output of hidden layers depends on the information. RNN has been commonly used for NLP or sequential data problems. However, its architecture is simple since the ability to link long-distance layers is poor. It is incapable of remembering information from long distance data and, thus, the first elements of input sequence usually has no great effect on the following steps. As a result, RNN is influenced by the derivatives during learning and vanishing gradient. LSTM network is designed to solve the problem; it only remembers relevant and other information to discard them.

The LSTM network consists of many interconnected cells as shown in Figure 8 [21]. The idea of LSTM is to add cell internal state ($s_t$) and three ports of input and output ($f_t$ forget gate, $i_t$ input, and $\theta_t$ output). At each time step, the ports receive the input $x_t$ (representing an element of input sequence) and $h_{t-1}$ that obtains from output of the memory cells from previous time step ($t-1$). The port has the function of selecting information for each different purpose. They are defined as follows:

- **Forgotten gate** removes unnecessary information from $s_t$;

- **Input port** filters the necessary information to add $s_t$.

- **Output port** determines information from $s_t$ that is used as output.
During the implementation, \( s_t \) and \( h_t \) are calculated as follows:

At the first step, LSTM cell determines the information that needs to be removed from \( s_t \) at \( t-1 \). The value of \( f_t \) is calculated based on \( x_t \), \( h_{t-1} \) and \( b_f \). The sigmoid function converts all activation values between “0” and “1” as follows:

\[
f_t = \sigma(W_{f,x}x_t + W_{f,h}h_{t-1} + b_f).
\] (7)

At the second step, LSTM cell determines the information that needs to be added to \( s_t \). The step involves two calculations for \( \tilde{s}_t \) and \( f_t \). \( \tilde{s}_t \) represents information that can be added to \( s_t \) as:

\[
\tilde{s}_t = \tanh(W_{\tilde{s},x}x_t + W_{\tilde{s},h}h_{t-1} + b_{\tilde{s}}).
\] (8)

\( i_t \) of input port at time \( t \) is calculated:

\[
i_t = \sigma(W_{i,x}x_t + W_{i,h}h_{t-1} + b_i).
\] (9)
In the next step, $s_t$ is calculated as follows:

$$s_t = f_t * s_{t-1} + i_t * \tilde{s}_t.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Finally, $h_t$ is:

$$o_t = \sigma(W_{o,h} h_{t-1} + b_o);$$

$$h_t = o_t * \tanh(s_t),$$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $W_{\tilde{s},x}, W_{\tilde{s},h}, W_{f,x}, W_{f,h}, W_{i,x}$ and $W_{i,h}$ are weight matrices in each LSTM cell and $b_f, b_{\tilde{s}}, b_I, b_o$ are bias vectors.

### 3.6. Convolution Neural Network (CNN)

CNN has a number of advantages for image and text processing. Therefore, it is studied in regard to NLP application. However, the biggest disadvantage of RNN is that it takes a too much time to train a model. Therefore, the researchers hope to use CNN to reduce training time while still achieving the same results as those of RNN.

CNN is a type of artificial neural network (ANN) with multiplayer perceptron’s, namely convolution and pooling. It is understood as a convolutional class that transforms an input into a different output. CNN simply includes a few layers of convolution combining nonlinear activation functions as ReLU or tanh to create abstract of information for the next layer.

In the feed-forward neural network (FNN) model, the layers are directly connected to each other through weight ($w$). These layers are called the fully connected or affine layers. In CNN model layers are linked through convolution. Specifically, the next layer is a convolution result from the previous layer since local connections exist.

CNN is a collection of convolution classes that overlaps and used for nonlinear activation functions similar to ReLU and then for activating weights in nodes. Each class after the activation function will generate abstract of information for the next one. In the FNN model each input is used for subsequent layers.

In training process CNN automatically learns values through filter classes. There are two aspects to consider; they are location invariance and compositionality. If this object is projected under different angles, the accuracy of the algorithm will be significantly affected with the same object. Pooling layer is the immutability for translation, rotation, and scaling. Local aggregation gives levels of information representation from lower to higher and abstract through the convolution. The composite layer is inserted periodically after each pair of convolutions and the nonlinear activation layer. It reduces the spatial dimensions of a sample and the total parameters of network. Therefore, the
aggregate layer makes sense to control the overload in network. There are two types of algorithms common in aggregation classes as follows:

- **MaxPooling** extracts the maximum value of child function;
- **AveragePooling** extracts the average value of sub-functions.

RNN is a specialized neural network that processes a series of values. At the network application, the text to be a two-dimension matrix is considered where rows are the tokens of sentence, and their values are the vector values of each token. The size of input matrix is as follows: $height = \text{len(tokens)}$ and $width = \text{dimension(wordembedding)}$. At convolution implementation, the filter (also two-dimension matrix) is used with $widthvalue = \text{Input Matrix}$, and height value is usually 3, 4 or 5. The application of CNN to NLP can be described as shown in Figure 9.

Fig. 9. Diagram of applying CNN to NLP model [42]

4. Simulation and Results

4.1. Setup

4.1.1. Dataset. VNTC dataset is used [4] including 33756 and 50373 articles for training and testing sets, respectively. The input will be separated from the words via `pyvi` library [43] and cleaned stop-words (special characters and punctuation).
4.1.2. **Pre-processing Data.** All documents after separating from via pyvi library are processed into words for the next processing step. The function of auxiliary words will be omitted to increase the performance as well as to reduce the number of characteristics for classification model.

4.1.3. **Extracting Feature.** The text is converted into-vector to create a directory for using document representations. Each word will be assigned a natural value. They are placed with the corresponding numbers. Each text is converted into an array of natural numbers that are assigned fixed words. It will be converted into a vector form consisting of one number and “0” elements.

Word2vec will be used to improve text features. It was found out that the pre-train of the gensim library is not suitable for the considered dataset. Therefore, the dataset was built by the authors. The Word2vec model was subjected to retraining in order to improve the problem with embedding$_{size} = 300$, window$_{size} = 5$ for 80000 documents. Figure 10 is the result of training model using $t-SNE$.

![Fig. 10. Selection words of vector space from Word2vec](image-url)
4.1.4. Text Classification. Since a newsletter usually ranges from 500 to 1000 words, the following was chosen: the maximum length of each document is 400 and the size of word embedding is 300 in order to reduce the input of network. The choice of text size and vector space to solve the multi-dimensional data problem of traditional methods is very important. Therefore, was selected the training of the model with a hidden class of 128 units and use of \textit{RMSProp} with a learning rate of 0.001 for the optimal function and a dropout of 0.4.

The embedding layer with a 300-dimensional vector is used to represent a word. Then, was used spatial dropout 1D to remove 1D feature of maps and increase independence between them. The LSTM class is used with 128 memory units. The model of output layer consists of 10 topics. Activation function is used as \textit{softmax} to classify multiple layers and loss function is categorized as cross entropy. In addition, \textit{batchsize} is set to 64 and \textit{epochs} is 35. Detailed flowchart of LSTM algorithm is shown in Figure 11.

![Algorithm flowchart for LSTM model](image)

4.1.5. Evaluation Criteria. The precision, recall, and F1-score criteria were used to evaluate the model performance. The parameters are shown in Table 2 [44] where:

- TN is the result where model accurately predicts the negative class;
- TP is the result where model accurately predicts the positive class;
- FN is the result where model incorrectly predicts the negative class;
- FP is the result where model incorrectly predicts the positive class.
Table 2. Confusion matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Labels</th>
<th>Negative prediction</th>
<th>Positive prediction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative act</td>
<td>True negative(TN)</td>
<td>False positive(FP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive act</td>
<td>False negative(FN)</td>
<td>True positive(TP)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Precision* is the ratio of positive points determining by $TP$ and $FP$ as:

$$Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}. \quad (13)$$

*Recall* is the ratio of positive points determining by $TP$ and $FN$ as:

$$Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}. \quad (14)$$

*F1-score* is the harmonic mean of precision and recall (assuming these two quantities are non-zero) determining by the expression:

$$\frac{2}{F1} = \frac{1}{Precision} + \frac{1}{Recall}. \quad (15)$$

4.2. Results. The results of the model are shown in Tables 3 and 4.

Table 3. Comparing the results of LSTM model and the proposal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Precision (%)</th>
<th>Recall (%)</th>
<th>F1-score (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSTM</td>
<td>92.36</td>
<td>91.81</td>
<td>92.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The proposal (LSTM + Word2Vec)</td>
<td>95.55</td>
<td>95.93</td>
<td>95.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Comparing the results of CNN model and the proposal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Precision (%)</th>
<th>Recall (%)</th>
<th>F1-score (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNN</td>
<td>84.48</td>
<td>83.02</td>
<td>83.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The proposal (CNN + Word2Vec )</td>
<td>84.13</td>
<td>84.89</td>
<td>84.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 shows the results of classification for the text in Vietnamese. The accuracy of model using LSTM with Word2vec is 4% higher than that
using LSTM. The result is achieved by pre-training of the Word2vec model. Besides, Word2vec is able of preventing the overfitting that reduces the number of training parameters and improves accuracy.

Also was executed the model based on CNN and CNN + Word2vec as shown in Table 4. Two important features of CNN are local sensing and weight sharing. When CNN algorithm is applied to the problem, the input is considered as a two-dimensional matrix of size 400 × 300. In the case under consideration the fixed length of each text is 400 and size of each word is 300. In the CNN model is used the Conv1D class with a filter of 128 and kernel-size of 5. For the Pooling class, we use MaxPool1D with pool−size = 2. In the next class, we continue to use Conv1D with a filter of 256. Finally, we use GlobalAvgPool1D with the softmax activation function.

The results given in Tables 3 and 4 show that the accuracy of model based on LSTM + Word2vec is much better than that of CNN. CNN demonstrates great advantages in image processing. However, the model has low results in text classification. It can be seen that LSTM gives good results in text data processing.

Besides, the received results are also compared with other methods results. The results are shown in Table 5, Figures 12 and 13.

![Fig. 12. Loss value of proposal’s model](image)

It could be seen in Table 5, that while using the same number of extracting features the proposed approach gains a better accuracy than the other methods.
Fig. 13. Accuracy value of proposed model

Table 5. Comparing the results of proposed method with some other methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Number of extracting features</th>
<th>Accuracy (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>LSTM, Wor2vec, and CNN</td>
<td>Vietnam data (VNTC) [4]</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>93.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18]</td>
<td>Topic modeling (Naïve Bayes theory)</td>
<td>VLSP (20000 sentences)</td>
<td>829 and 339</td>
<td>from 83.00 to 94.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[19]</td>
<td>Support vector machine (SVM)</td>
<td>4162 documents [29]</td>
<td>7721</td>
<td>80.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[45]</td>
<td>Naïve Bayes, Maxent, LSTM, and Bi-LSTM</td>
<td>Vietnamese students of feed-back corpus for sentiment analysis (16000 feed-back) [47]</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>from 81.2 to 89.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[4]</td>
<td>SVM, kNN, and NGram</td>
<td>VNTC [4]</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>93.4; 84.67; and 97.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[47]</td>
<td>SVM, Random forest, SVC, and neural network</td>
<td>VNTC [4]</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>96.52; 99.21; 99.22; and 99.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Conclusion. The documents classification plays an important role in exploiting big data. The paper presented the method of text classification based on LSTM and CNN models. LSTM algorithm overcomes the vanishing gradient problem that helps in identifying the associations between sentence characteristics, key words, and contextual words. The proposed approach contribution is the use of the Word2vec model for classifying text based on title. Besides, the features learning was executed by Word2vec method that helps to link them together to improve the model efficiency. In the Word2vec method, was used a 300-dimension to reduce the number of characteristics in comparison with other methods. It helps to increase processing speed and avoid a curse of dimensionality.

Also, was performed the model without using Word2vec. The simulation (model) shows that the results are better with Word2vec use. The selection of characteristics from Word2vec helps the model to select appropriate features and to improve accuracy. Also were compared the models using LSTM and CNN. The results show that LSTM is better than CNN.

However, some disadvantages were identified as follows:
– training time of model is longer than that of other methods (SVM and CNN);
– the method will not work correctly if the sentences are too long.

In the future, therefore, the plan is to improve the classification model by combining other DL models to change derivative disappearance.
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АЛГОРИТМ КЛАССИФИКАЦИИ ВЬЕТНАМСКОГО ТЕКСТА С ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЕМ ДОЛГОЙ КРАТКОСРОЧНОЙ ПАМЯТИ И WORD2VEC

Фат Х.Н., Ань Н.Т.М. Алгоритм классификации вьетнамского текста с использованием долгой краткосрочной памяти и Word2Vec.

Аннотация. В условиях текущей четвертой промышленной революции вместе с развитием компьютерных технологий увеличивается и количество текстовых данных. Следует понимать природу и характеристики этих данных, чтобы применить необходимые методологии. Автоматическая обработка текста экономит время и ресурсы существующих систем. Классификация текста является одним из основных приложений обработки естественного языка с использованием таких методов, как анализ тональности текста, разметка данных и так далее. В частности, недавние достижения в области глубокого обучения показывают, что эти методы хорошо подходят для классификации документов. Они продемонстрировали свою эффективность в классификации англоязычных текстов. Однако по проблеме классификации вьетнамских текстов существует не так много исследований. Последние созданные модели глубокого обучения для классификации вьетнамского текста показали заметные улучшения, но тем не менее этого недостаточно. Предлагается автоматическая система на основе длиной краткосрочной памяти и Word2Vec моделей, которая повышает точность классификации текстов. Предлагаемая модель продемонстрировала более высокие результаты классификации вьетнамских текстов по сравнению с другими традиционными методами. При оценке данных вьетнамского текста предлагаемая модель показывает точность классификации более 90%, поэтому может быть использована в реальном приложении.

Ключевые слова: классификация текста, естественная языковая обработка, обработка данных, длинная краткосрочная память, Word2Vec
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